2024 Detained Master Calendar and Bond Hearing-Immigration Court Observation

Date: Observer (full name):
Shift start time: 8:30 10:00 or 10:30 1:30 3:00
GENERAL
1. Last 3 digits of A#: 2. Country of origin :
3. Gender: Male Female Transgender/ non-binary Unsure
4. Respondent Appears: in Person via Video phone/audio Didn't appear, reason:
5. Judge: Carr Hansen Ivany Mazzie Miller Sardelli Wood Zaske Other:
Judge appears: in Person via Video phone/audio
6. DHS Attorney: Appears: in Person via Video phone/audio didn't appear
7. Was the respondent represented? in Person via Video phone/audio No, pro se Attorney failed to appea
8. Type of Hearing: Custody/ Bond Removal Unknown Other
9. Detention Location: Freeborn Kandiyohi Sherburne Other:
IHP (Prison): Unknown
10. Respondent's best language: 11. What language (interpreter) provided:
12. Interpreter appears? Not needed in Person via Video phone/audio Not available Attorney waived
13. Interpretation (check all that apply): Complete Incomplete Technical problems Multiple attempts to find
Not in preferred language Comment:
BOND HEARING (skip if no bond hearing scheduled today)
14. Did both parties agree to bond amount in advance? Yes, \$ No (if yes, skip remaining bond ?s)
15. Bond amount requested? \$ No amount specified
16. DHS's principle argument:
DHS did not speak
17. Outcome: Bond Granted Bond Denied Withdrew bond request Continuance granted
Advised to request new hearing when ready Judge will issue written decision
18. if Granted, Bond Amount: \$
19. If denied, why? Ineligible/mandatory detention Danger to society Flight risk Other
20. What factors were mentioned in granting or denying bond?
21. Did parties reserve appeal on bond? <u>DHS</u> : Yes No, waived Respondent: Yes No, waived Judge didn't as
22. If Continued, next bond hearing: No date given
REMOVAL HEARING
23. Pleadings taken today? Yes No If yes, select all that apply: concedes all in NTA denies some or all in NTA
denies receipt of NTA denies understanding NTA attorney doesn't have NTA declines designate country
Comment:
24. Discussion of relief? Not discussed Ineligible for any form of relief
Judge screened for eligibility, Submitted application today Application Before USCIS
eligible to apply Submitted application previously Unsure/ Other:

A# rev 1.1.24 25. Relief applications requested, to be submitted, or pending? Not discussed Ineligible for any form of relief
Cancellation: 42A (LPR) 42B I-589 Asylum / WOR / CAT U-Visa or T-Visa
Voluntary Departure Adjustment of Status (I-485) Other/ unsure:
26. Comment:
27. Did respondent asked to be removed (deported)? Yes No If asked for deportation, what did respondent say?
28. DHS's principle argument: didn't speak
29. Outcome: Continuance granted Removal order (Deportation) Termination/Dismissal Trial queue
Relief granted. Specify:
30. If continued, next hearing Date: Time:
31. If judge made final decision did parties reserve appeal?
DHS: Yes No, waived Respondent: Yes No, waived Judge didn't ask Unsure
CRIMINAL HISTORY 32. Was a criminal history mentioned? Not Discussed No criminal Hx All convictions All cases are pending Arrests but no charges All cases acquitted/dismissed Mixed History Unknown outcome of charges/ cases
Comments:
33. Any indication of discriminatory policing? Yes No Unsure Not discussed Comment:
EQUITIES
34. Length of time in the US: Unknown .
35. Family in the US? Yes: No Unknown
36. Supporters in court? Family Other supporters Can't tell None Supporters acknowledged HEALTH
37. Any concern about mental health, physical illness, or competency Yes No Unsure Explain:
38. IMPRESSIONS: What barriers did Respondent face and how did court address these? Does the respondent understand happened and what is expected? How did the court recognize the humanity and individuality of the respondent? What had the most impact on you? What questions did this raise?
39. New procedures, arguments, policies noted: